Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,917	TEETS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles G. Freay	3746
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) <u>Charles G. Freay</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Porcelli</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 May 2007	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: The rejections set forth under 35 USC 251.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
	• •	- ' ' '

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to notifiy the applicant that the Petition of February 2007 had been decided and to discuss the requirements for the Reissue Decalartion. During the discussion it was discovered that the declaration was defective because it failed to make a correct "duty to disclose" statement. For this reason, it was agreed that prosecution would be reopened.